

Exhibit A to Declaration of Daniel P. Collins

1 HENRY WEISSMAN (SBN 132418)
2 Henry.Weissman@mto.com
3 DANIEL P. COLLINS (SBN 139164)
4 Daniel.Collins@mto.com
5 JOSEPH S. Klapach (SBN 206345)
6 Joseph.Klapach@mto.com
7 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
8 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
9 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
10 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
11 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
12 Attorneys for Defendant
13 JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, INC.

14 STEVEN M. WATT (*pro hac vice*)
15 swatt@aclu.org
16 BEN WIZNER (SBN 215724)
17 bwizner@aclu.org
18 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
19 UNION FOUNDATION
20 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
21 New York, NY 10014
22 Telephone: (212) 549-2500
23 Facsimile: (212) 549-2651

24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25 [Additional counsel listed on next page]

26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
27 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
28 SAN JOSE DIVISION

19 BINYAM MOHAMED; ABOU ELKASSIM
20 BRITEL; AND AHMED AGIZA,

CASE NO. C 07-2798 RS

21 Plaintiffs,
22 vs.
23 JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, INC.,
24 Defendant.

STIPULATION UNDER LOCAL RULE
6-1(a) EXTENDING THE TIME TO
RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT

[NO ACTION REQUIRED]

1 ANN BRICK (SBN 65296)
2 abrick@aclunc.org
3 ACLU FOUNDATION OF
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
39 Drumm Street
4 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 621-2493
Facsimile: (415) 255-1478
5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 WHEREAS on May 30, 2007, Plaintiffs Binyam Mohamed, Abou Elkassim Britel, and
2 Ahmed Agiza (“Plaintiffs”) filed the Complaint in this action;

3 WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), the response
4 of Defendant Jeppesen DataPlan, Inc. (“Defendant”) to the Complaint is currently due to be filed
5 and served on Monday, July 2, 2007;

6 WHEREAS, on Monday, June 25, 2007, counsel for Plaintiffs informed counsel for
7 Defendant that Plaintiffs intend to amend the Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) and to
8 file and serve their amended complaint on or before Wednesday, July 25, 2007;

9 WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (e), Defendant’s
10 response to an amended complaint served on July 25, 2007 would ordinarily be due on Monday,
11 August 13, 2007;

12 WHEREAS, in light of the forthcoming amended complaint, it would serve no practical
13 purpose to require Defendant to answer the current Complaint;

14 WHEREAS Local Rule 6-1(a) provides that the “[p]arties may stipulate in writing,
15 without a Court order, to extend the time within which to answer or otherwise respond to the
16 complaint, ... provided the change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already
17 fixed by Court order”;


18 WHEREAS the parties reserve the right to present a further stipulation to the Court, for its
19 approval under Local R. 6-1(b), more fully establishing the schedule for a possible motion under
20 Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 in response to the forthcoming amended complaint (which stipulation and
21 proposed order may include a further extension of the due date for responding to the forthcoming
22 amended complaint);

23 NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendant, through their undersigned counsel, hereby
24 stipulate, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), that the time within which Defendant shall respond to
25 the Complaint shall be extended to and until Monday, August 13, 2007.

26
27
28

1 DATED: June 26, 2007

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

2 By: 
3 Daniel P. Collins

4
5 Attorneys for Defendant
6 JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, INC.

7 DATED: June 26, 2007

8 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
9 UNION FOUNDATION

10 By: 
11 Steven M. Watt

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28